IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.S.R. VARMA

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.15596 OF 2006

Dated: 3rd August, 2006

Between:

Kaveti Padmavathi w/o late Prasanna Kumar

Petitioner

AND

The Station House Officer,
Pulivendla Police Station,
Cuddapah District and another

Respondents

ORAL ORDER: (per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R. Varma)

This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a

telegram, dated 24.07.2006, received by this Court said to have been issued by Kaveti Padmavathi, seeking a direction to the respondents herein to produce her nephews (alleged detenus) by name Sathupati Paramesh Babu s/o Munaiah, aged about 13 years, and Sathupati Manikanta Babu s/o Munaiah, aged 11 years, who are residents of Pulivendla, Kadapa District, to produce them before the Court, who were illegally detained since 13.07.2006 by the police, Pulivendla.

2. In response to the notice issued by this Court, the Sub-Inspector of Police, Pulivendla, filed counter-affidavit stating that he was the Investigation Officer in Cr.No.74 of 2006 of Pulivendla Police Station, registered for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code, and in that connection, the two alleged detenus have been arrested on 27.07.2006. The first alleged detenue is aged about 21 years (age was described as 13 years by the complainant) was produced before the Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Pulivendla, on 28.07.2006 and he was remanded to judicial custody and presently lodged in Sub-Jail, Pulivendla. Insofar as the second detenue is concerned, who is a minor boy, was also apprehended and produced before the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class-cum-Juvenile Court,

Ananthapur, on 28.07.2006 and he was remanded to judicial custody and presently he is lodged in Government Observation Home for Boys, Ananthapur.

3. In view of these revelations, we do not find any reason to continue the present writ petition any longer and the same is liable to be dismissed.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed.

D.S.R.Varma, J.

P.Swaroop Reddy, J.

August 3, 2006
MAS.